## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Eastern District of North Carolina

United States of America	
v. Christopher Cornelius Daniels	) Case No: 5:11-cr-57-1BR
	) Case 110.
Date of Previous Amended Judgment:	) USM No: 55253-056 ) )
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Any)	Defendant's Attorney
	MOTION FOR SENTENCE REDUCTION NT TO 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)
§ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of improsubsequently been lowered and made retroactiv § 994(u), and having considered such motion, a	the Director of the Bureau of Prisons  the court under 18 U.S.C. isonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has e by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. nd taking into account the policy statement set forth at USSG §1B1.10 . § 3553(a), to the extent that they are applicable,
_	defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in months is reduced to
As for defendant's motion bases resulted from the career offer the authority to reduce defer States v. Munn, 595 F.3d 182 based on Amendment 794, that to decreasing a defendant's k Sentencing Commission has not 181.10(d), and therefore, the	rts I and II of Page 2 when motion is granted) sed on Amendment 782, his base offense level ender guidleine, and therefore, the court lacks idant's sentence on this basis. See United (4th Cir. 2010). As for defendant's motion Amendment amended U.S.S.G. 3B1.2 which pertains base offense level for a mitigating role. The made Amendment 794 retroactive, U.S.S.G. court lacks the authority to reduce basis. Defendant's motions are DENIED.
Except as otherwise provided, all provisions of IT IS SO ORDERED.  Order Date: 8/21/2017	the judgment dated 11/01/2011 shall remain in effect.
	new Duck
Effective Date:	W. Earl Britt, Senior US District Judge
(if different from order date)	Printed name and title